arXiv:1606.02226v1 [math.PR] 7 Jun 2016

Spaces of quasi-invariant measures and convergence in them.

S.V. Ludkowski.

05 September 2015

Abstract

Spaces of quasi-invariant measures supplied with different topologies are studied. Their embeddings, projective decompositions, conditions for their metrizability are investigated. Theorems about convergence of nets of quasi-invariant measures and their extensions are proved as well. Moreover, associated with them uniform spaces are studied.

[1]

1 Introduction.

Measures and their spaces play very important role in functional analysis and probability theory [1]-[7], [9]-[29]. Among them quasi-invariant measures are widely used in many branches of mathematics including harmonic analysis and group representations and geometry. Although spaces of measures were intensively investigated, but properties of spaces of quasi-invariant measures remained less studied.

[1]key words and phrases: measure, quasi-invariant, topological space, metric
Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 28A33; 28C15
address: Department of Applied Mathematics,
Moscow State Technical University MIREA,
av. Vernadsky 78, Moscow 119454, Russia
ludkowski@mirea.ru

Concrete examples of families of quasi-invariant measures are contained in the cited above literature. They include quasi-invariant measures on Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces or more general topological vector spaces relative to proper additive subgroups [2, 6, 12]-[15, 25, 27, 28]. Then measures quasi-invariant relative to transformation groups consisting of linear A or non-linear operators B on the separable real Hilbert space l_2 such that $A - I$ or $B'(x) - I$ are nuclear or more generally Hilbert-Schmidt operators with some other conditions imposed were described as well, where I denotes the unit operator, $B'(x)$ notates the strong (Frechet) derivative of B at $x \in X$. Particularly, they may be Gaussian measures.

Also quasi-invariant measures on topological groups which may be non-locally compact relative to proper subgroups were investigated in [2, 7, 18, 19, 21]-[23]. More generally, quasi-invariant measures on manifolds and topological spaces which may be non-locally compact relative to transformation groups were studied in [2, 10, 20, 23]. For locally compact groups and topological spaces studies of quasi-invariant measures were begun earlier (see, for example, [4, 11] and references therein). Using polyhedral expansions of complete uniform spaces it is possible to provide abundant families of quasi-invariant measures on complete uniform spaces relative to their certain transformation groups [15, 23].

Apart from spaces of measures, families of quasi-invariant measures appear to be generally non-linear, but can be supplied with topological or uniform structures. This work continues previous publications [15, 18] of the author on this subject and treats new aspects of the theory.

In those publications convergence of nets of quasi-invariant measures was studied, but with rather strong conditions on quasi-invariance factors of measures like a uniform convergence on each compact subset. In the present work more general classes of measures are considered and weaker conditions on quasi-invariance factors and topologies are imposed. Furthermore, new general properties of topological spaces of quasi-invariant measures are investigated besides convergence of measure nets.

In this article spaces of quasi-invariant measures supplied with different topologies are studied. Their embeddings, projective decompositions, condi-

tions for their metrizability are investigated. Theorems about convergence of nets of quasi-invariant measures and their extensions are proved as well. Moreover, associated with them uniform spaces are studied.

As it is known under definite conditions the Radon-Nikodym derivative of one σ-smooth measure relative to another may exist [3, 4]. Nevertheless in the present work it is not supposed in advance that a quasi-invariant measure should have a quasi-invariance factor, that is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of a transformed measure relative to its initial measure.

In Theorem 2 two different topologies on spaces of measures are compared taking into account transformation groups. In Proposition 5 a relation between quasi-invariant measures with the Souslin number of a topological space is elucidated. Theorem 6 reveals an interplay between bounded quasi-invariant functionals and σ-smooth quasi-invariant measures. An equivalence relation on a space of continuous functions induced by a quasi-invariance factor is studied (see Proposition 7 and Corollary 8).

It is shown in Proposition 9 that in a topological space of quasi-invariant measures there are closed linear subspaces relative to a weak topology. Theorem 11 about extensions of quasi-invariant measures from Tychonoff topological spaces onto their Wallman extensions (compactifications) is proved. Metrizability of spaces of quasi-invariant measures under definite conditions is described in Theorem 12. Some results on ranges of quasi-invariant measures are proved in Lemma 13 and Proposition 14. Conditions for a space of quasi-invariant measures to be dense or not in a space of measures in a weak topology are investigated (see Theorem 15 and Proposition 16).

Uniform spaces associated with algebras of sets and spaces of quasi-invariant measures and actions on them of groups are studied (see Theorems 18 and 19). Then Theorem 21 is proved about convergence of sequences of quasi-invariant measures under rather mild conditions. Extensions of quasi-invariant measures for topological groups are investigated as well (see Theorem 22). Decompositions of quasi-invariant measures and their spaces with the help of inverse mapping systems are studied in Theorems 23 and 24.

All main results of this paper are obtained for the first time. They can be used for further studies of spaces of quasi-invariant measures, groups algebras,

representations of groups and algebras.

2 Families of quasi-invariant measures.

To avoid misunderstandings we first remind some definitions which may vary in the literature.

1. Definitions. Let X be a $T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$ topological space and let G be a group acting on X so that to each element $g \in G$ there corresponds a mapping $h_g : X \to X$ satisfying the conditions $h_g \circ h_j = h_{gj}$ for all $g, j \in G$ and $h_e = id$, where $id(x) = x$ for each $x \in X$ designs the identity mapping, e denotes the neutral element in G. We shortly denote $h_g(x) = gx$ for each $g \in G$ and $x \in X$.

Let $C(X, \mathbf{F})$ (or $C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$) be the space of all continuous (or continuous and bounded respectively) functions from the topological space X into the field $\mathbf{F}$, where the field $\mathbf{F}$ is either real $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}$ or complex $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$. Put $\mathsf{Z} := \{Z : Z = f^{-1}(0), f \in C_b(X, \mathbf{R})\}$ and $\mathsf{U} := \{U : U = X \setminus Z,\ Z \in \mathsf{Z}\}$, also the notation $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(X)$ will be used for the minimal algebra containing these families Z and U, then $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(X)$ will stand for the minimal σ-algebra containing Z and U.

Henceforth, it is supposed that $g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $gX = X$ for each $g \in G$, if something other will not be outlined.

Measures $m, n : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ are called equivalent $m \sim n$ if $|m| << |n|$ and $|n| << |m|$, where $|m|$ denotes the variation of the measure m, while the notation $|m| << |n|$ means that $|m|$ is absolutely continuous relative to $|n|$.

A measure $m : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ is called (left) quasi-invariant relative to a group G if m^g is equivalent to m for each $g \in G$, where $m^g(A) := m(g^{-1}A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $d_m(g, x) := m^g(dx)/m(dx)$ denotes the (left) quasi-invariance factor of m wherever it exists, where $g \in G$, $x \in X$ and it is supposed that $d_m(g, x) \in \mathbf{F}$.

We shall use the notation $M(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F})$ for the family of all measures on $\mathcal{F}$ with values in $\mathbf{F}$. The corresponding family of all quasi-invariant relative to the group G measures on the measurable space $(X, \mathcal{F})$ is denoted by $Q(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$ and its subfamily of non-negative measures by $Q^+(X, \mathbf{R}, \mathcal{F}, G)$.

Let a function $d : G \times X \to \mathbf{F}$ satisfy the conditions:

(1) $d(e, x) = 1$ for each $x \in X$, where $e \in G$ denotes the neutral element of the group G,

(2) $d(g, x) \neq 0$ for every $g \in G$ and $x \in X$, also let

(3) d satisfy the co-cycle condition: $d(sg, x) = d(g, s^{-1}x)d(s, x)$ for all $s, g \in G$ and $x \in X$.

We denote by $Q^d(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$ the family of all measures $m \in M(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F})$ such that the measure m is left quasi-invariant with existing quasi-invariance factor $d_m(g, x) = d(g, x)$ for each $g \in G$ for m-almost all $x \in X$. Its subfamily of non-negative measures is denoted by $Q^{+,d}(X, \mathbf{R}, \mathcal{F}, G)$. If some data like $\mathbf{F}$ or G or $\mathcal{F}$ are specified, they will be omitted for shortening of the notation.

2. Theorem. *The families of neighborhoods*

$$(1) \quad N_s(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; G; y) :=$$

$$\{m : m \in M(X),\ \forall r = 1, ..., n\ sup_{g \in G} | \int_X f_r(x)(m^g(dx) - m_0^g(dx)| < y\};$$

$$(2) \quad N_w(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; g(1), ..., g(k); y) :=$$

$$\{m : m \in M(X),\ \forall r = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., k \mid \int_X f_r(x)(m^{g(j)}(dx) - m_0^{g(j)}(dx)| < y\}$$

induce $T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$ *topologies* $\tau_s(G)$ *and* $\tau_w(G)$ *on* $M(X) = M(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F})$ *and* $Q(X) \hookrightarrow M(X)$, *where* $f_1 \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F}), ..., f_n \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$, $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$, $y > 0$ *and* $g(1) \in G, ..., g(k) \in G$, $Q(X) = Q(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$. *The first topology is generally stronger than the second one, when* X *and* G *are infinite. If* $h_g : X \to X$ *is the homeomorphism for each* $g \in G$, *then the topology* $\tau_w(G)$ *is equivalent with the usual weak topology* $\tau_w = \tau_w(\{e\})$.

Proof. If $m \in M(X)$, then $m^g \in M(X)$ as well, since $g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$, where $m^g(E) := m(g^{-1}E)$ for each $E \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g \in G$, though m^g need not be equivalent with m. At first we verify from Formulas (1) and (2), that

$N_s(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; G; y) \cap N_s(m_0; h_1, ..., h_k; G; y) = N_s(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n, h_1, ..., h_k; G; y)$ and

$N_w(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; g(1), ..., g(k); y) \cap N_w(m_0; h_1, ..., h_l; u(1), ..., u(t); y) =$

$N_w(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n, h_1, ..., h_l; g(1), ..., g(k), u(1), ..., u(t); y)$

for every functions $f_1 \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F}), ..., f_n \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F}), h_1 \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F}), ..., h_l \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$ and group elements $g(1) \in G, ..., g(k) \in G, u(1) \in G, ..., u(t) \in G$.

Also for each $m_0 \in M(X)$ there exist non-void neighborhoods $N_s(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; G; y)$ and $N_w(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; g(1), ..., g(k); y)$. Therefore, the families $\mathsf{B}_s(G)$ and $\mathsf{B}_w(G)$ given by formulas (1) and (2) respectively are bases of topologies, since they satisfy Conditions $1.1(B1, B2)$ [8], hence they induce topologies, which were denoted by $\tau_s(G)$ and $\tau_w(G)$ correspondingly above.

In view of Theorem II.1 [29] the topological space $(M(X), \tau_w(G))$ is completely regular (Tychonoff), that is $(M(X), \tau_w(G)) \in T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$. Since $Q(X) \subset M(X)$, then the topology $\tau_w(G)$ on $M(X)$ induces the corresponding topology on $Q(X)$ and hence $(Q(X), \tau_w(G)) \in T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$.

If the topological space X and the group G are infinite, then the topology $\tau_s(G)$ is generally stronger, than τ_w, since the neighborhood $N_s(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; G; y)$ with nonconstant continuous bound3ed functions $f_1, ..., f_n$ can not be obtained in general as the finite intersection of weak neighborhoods

$N_w(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; g(1), ..., g(k); y)$, where $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$.

If $m_0 \neq m_1 \in M(X)$, then there exists $f \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$ so that $m_0(f) \neq m_1(f)$ (see also [1, 3]), where

$$m(f) := \int_X f(x) m(dx),$$

since $X \in T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$. Then

$$\sup_{g \in G} |m_0^g(f) - m_1^g(f)| \geq |\int_X f(x)[m_0(dx) - m_1(dx)]| = y > 0,$$

since $m^e(dx) = m(dx)$ for the neutral element e of the group G. Therefore, $N_s(m_0; f; G; y/4) \cap N_s(m_1; f; G; y/4) = \emptyset$, consequently, $(M(X), \tau_s(G)) \in T_2$ and hence $(M(X), \tau_s(G)) \in T_1$ (see also §1.5 [8]).

Let now $m_0 \in M(X)$ and let J be a closed subset in the topological space $(M(X), \tau_s(G))$. We take the neighborhood

$U := N(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; G; y)$

of a measure $m_0 \in M(X)$ and mention that

$$U = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} N(m_0; f_j; G; y),$$

where $y > 0$. Put

$$u_j(m) := \min(\sup_{g \in G} |m^g(f_j) - m_0^g(f_j)|/y, 1),$$

then $u_j(m)$ is continuous relative to the $\tau_s(G)$ topology on $M(X)$, where $y > 0$ is a marked number. Moreover, $u_j(m_0) = 0$, also $u_j(m) = 1$ on $M(X) - N(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; G; y)$. Thus the function $u(m) := \max(u_1, ..., u_n)$ is such that $u(m_0) = 0$ and $u(m) = 1$ on $M(X) - U$. This means that $(M(X), \tau_s(G)) \in T_{3.5}$.

Since $Q(X) \subset M(X)$, the topology $\tau_s(G)$ on $M(X)$ induces it on $Q(X)$ and consequently, $(Q(X), \tau_s(G)) \in T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$ as well.

Let now $h_g : X \to X$ be the homeomorphism for each $g \in G$, then to each bounded continuous function $f \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$ there corresponds $f^g \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$, where $f^g(x) := f(g^{-1}x)$ for each $x \in X$. Therefore, from the equality

$$\int_X f(x) m^g(dx) = \int_X f^{g^{-1}}(y) m(dy)$$

for each $f \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$ and $g \in G$ it follows that

$$(3) \quad N_w(m_0; f_1, ..., f_n; g(1), ..., g(k); y) := \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} N_w(m_0; f_{i,j}; y),$$

where $f^g(y) := f(g^{-1}y)$, $f_{i,j} = f_i^{g(j)^{-1}}$ for each $i = 1, ..., n$ and $j = 1, ..., k$. Thus the topology $\tau_w(G)$ on $M(X)$ is equivalent to the weak topology $\tau_w = \tau_w(\{e\})$, since their bases $\mathsf{B}_w(G)$ and $\mathsf{B}_w(\{e\})$ are equivalent in the considered case.

3. Corollary. *$(Q^+(X), \tau_w)$ is closed in the topological space $(Q(X), \tau_w)$ and $(Q^+(X), \tau_s(G))$ is closed in the topological space $(Q(X), \tau_s(G))$.*

Proof. If $(m_j : j \in J)$ is a net of non-negative measures converging to m in $(Q(X), \tau_w)$ or in $(Q(X), \tau_s(G))$ respectively, then its limit m is also non-negative.

4. Definitions. A subset V in the space $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ is called bounded if two functions $f \in C(X, \mathbf{R})$ and $h \in C(X, \mathbf{R})$ exist such that $f \le u \le h$ for each $u \in V$.

It is said that a linear functional $p : C(X, \mathbf{R}) \to \mathbf{R}$ is bounded, when $p(V)$ is bounded in $\mathbf{R}$ for each subset V bounded in $C(X, \mathbf{R})$.

A bounded linear functional p is called σ-smooth (or τ-smooth) if

$\lim_n p(f_n) = 0$ for each sequence (net) $(f_n : n \in A)$ in $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ so that $f_n \downarrow 0$

(that is, $f_n(x) \geq f_m(x) \geq 0$ for each $m \geq n \in A$ and $lim_n f_n(x) = 0$ for each $x \in X$), where $A = \mathbf{N}$ (or A is a directed set respectively). Then a $\mathbf{C}$-linear functional $p : C(X, \mathbf{C}) \to C(X, \mathbf{C})$ is bounded, if $p = p_1 + ip_2$ and their restrictions $p_j|_{C(X,\mathbf{R})} : C(X, \mathbf{R}) \to \mathbf{R}$ are $\mathbf{R}$-linear and bounded for $j = 1$ and $j = 2$, where $p_j : C(X, \mathbf{C}) \to C(X, \mathbf{C})$ are $\mathbf{C}$-linear functionals for $j = 1$ and $j = 2$; $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

The corresponding measures on $\mathcal{B}$ are called σ-smooth or τ-smooth respectively, their families are denoted by $M_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F})$ or $M_\tau(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F})$, while the corresponding families of quasi-invariant relative to G measures will be denoted by $Q_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$ or $Q_\tau(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$. When some data like $\mathbf{F}$, G or $\mathcal{F}$ are outlined, they may be omitted to make notation shorter.

5. Proposition. *If a Hausdorff topological group Y has a left quasi-invariant σ-finite σ-smooth measure $m : \mathcal{B}(Y) \to [0, \infty]$ relative to a dense subgroup G, also $0 < m(U)$ for each open symmetric subset $U = U^{-1}$ in Y, then the Souslin number $s(Y)$ of Y is countable, $s(Y) \leq \aleph_0$.*

Proof. The measure m is quasi-invariant, hence if $m(V) = 0$ for some subset $V \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ in Y, then $m^g(V) = 0$ for all $g \in G$.

On the other hand, for each symmetric neighborhood $W = W^{-1}$ of the neutral element e in Y and each open subset T in Y an element $g \in G$ exists so that $g^{-1}W \cap T \neq \emptyset$. The measure m is σ-finite, that is a countable disjoint family of subsets $Y_j \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ exists such that $0 < m(Y_j) < \infty$ and $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Y_j$, where $Y_j \cap Y_k = \emptyset$ for each $j \neq k$. Therefore, for each open symmetric subset $W = W^{-1}$ in Y we have that a number j exists for which $m(W \cap Y_j) > 0$, since $0 < m(W)$. Hence $m^g(W \cap Y_j) > 0$ for each $g \in G$ and consequently, $m(g^{-1}W) > 0$.

Suppose the contrary that $s(Y) > \aleph_0$, then a family $W_b = W_b^{-1}$ of open subsets and $g_b \in G$ of elements would exist so that $g_b^{-1}W_b \cap g_c^{-1}W_c = \emptyset$ for each $b \neq c \in J$, where J is a set of the cardinality $card(J) > \aleph_0$. Then $card\{(b, i) : \ b \in J, i \in \mathbf{N}, \ m(g_b^{-1}W_b \cap Y_i) > 0\} > \aleph_0$. This implies the existence of a positive rational number y so that $card\{(b, i) : \ b \in J, i \in \mathbf{N}, \ m(g_b^{-1}W_b \cap Y_i) > y\} > \aleph_0$ and consequently, there exists j so that $card\{b : \ b \in J, \ m(g_b^{-1}W_b \cap Y_j) > y\} > \aleph_0$, since $\aleph_0\aleph_0 = \aleph_0$. But this leads to the contradiction, since the measure m is σ-smooth and σ-finite,

$0 < m(Y_j) < \infty$.

We remind the following definitions.

6. Theorem. *Let p be a bounded linear functional on $C(X, \mathbf{F})$ such that*

(1) $p(f^{g^{-1}}(x)) = p(d(g, x)f(x))$

for each $f \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$ and $g \in G$,

where $d(g, x)$ is a continuous function in the x variable for each $g \in G$, where $x \in X$, $f^g(x) := f(g^{-1}x)$. Then a quasi-invariant σ-smooth measure m exists $m \in Q^d_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F})$ so that

$$(2) \quad p(f) = \int_X f(x)m(dx)$$

for each $f \in C(X, \mathbf{R})$. Moreover, d satisfies Conditions 1$(1-3)$ (see Definitions 1) m-almost everywhere on X.

Proof. In view of Theorem I.23 [29] and §4 there exists $m \in M_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F})$ such that Formula (2) is fulfilled for each $f \in C(X, \mathbf{F})$. Mention that each ball

$B(f, r) := \{u : u \in C_b(X, \mathbf{R}); \|u\| \le r\}$

of radius $0 < r < \infty$ in $C_b(X, \mathbf{R})$ is bounded in $C(X, \mathbf{R})$, since $-r - s \le u(x) \le r + s$ for each $x \in X$, where

$$s = \|f\| := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|,$$

while $1_X \in C_b(X, \mathbf{R}) \subset C(X, \mathbf{R})$, where $1_X(x) = 1$ for each $x \in X$. By the conditions of this theorem the functional p is bounded and linear on $C(X, \mathbf{F})$, consequently, its restriction on $C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$ is continuous as well according to Definition 4, since $C_b(X, \mathbf{C}) = C_b(X, \mathbf{R}) \oplus_{\mathbf{R}} iC_b(X, \mathbf{R})$. On the other hand, we have that

$$(3) \quad \int_X f(x)m^g(dx) = \int_X f^{g^{-1}}(y)m(dy)$$

for each $f \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$ and $g \in G$, since $g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $gX = X$, where $m^g(dx) := m(g^{-1}dx)$. From Formulas (1) and (3) it follows that

$$p(f^{g^{-1}}) = \int_X f(x)m^g(dx)$$

and $m^g \in M_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F})$ for all $g \in G$ and $f \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$. Moreover, Theorems I.5, I.22 [29] and Equalities $(1, 3)$ imply that m^g is equivalent with m for each $g \in G$, since $f \in C_b(X, \mathbf{F})$ is arbitrary, consequently, $m \in Q^d_\sigma(X, \mathbf{R})$.

Then from Theorems I.5, I.22 [29] and $(1,2)$ we deduce that the identity $m^g(dx)/m(dx) = d(g,x)$ is valid m almost everywhere on X for each $g \in G$. Indeed, as the function of the x variable $d(g,x)$ belongs to $C(X,\mathbf{F})$ and hence $d(g,)C_b(X,\mathbf{F}) \subset C(X,\mathbf{F})$ for each $g \in G$, where $m^g(dx)/m(dx)$ denotes the Radon-Nikodym derivative (see, for example, [3, 4]). Then

$$m^{sg}(dx)/m(dx) = [m(g^{-1}s^{-1}dx)/m(s^{-1}dx)][m(s^{-1}dx)/m(dx)]$$

$$\Rightarrow d(sg,x) = d(g,s^{-1}x)d(s,x)$$

for each $s, g \in G$ and m-almost everywhere in the x-variable, $x \in X$. Furthermore, $m^e(dx)/m(dx) = 1$ for each $x \in X$, since $m^e = m$. Therefore, the function d satisfies Conditions $1(1-3)$ (see Definitions 1) m-almost everywhere on X in the x variable and for each marked elements $s, g \in G$ in Conditions $1(2,3)$.

7. Proposition. *Suppose that a function $d : G \times X \to \mathbf{F}$ satisfies Conditions 1$(1-3)$ (see Definitions 1) and is continuous in the x variable on X for each $g \in G$ and a mapping $g : X \to X$ is continuous for each element $g \in G$.*

Then there exists an equivalence relation on $C(X,\mathbf{F})$ induced by this function d.

Proof. For any functions $f \in C(X,\mathbf{F}), h \in C(X,\mathbf{F})$ we shall say that they are equivalent $f\Upsilon_d h$ if and only if there exists an element $g \in G$ so that $f(x) = d(g,x)h(g^{-1}x)$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore, if $h \in C(X,\mathbf{F})$, then $h(g^{-1}x) = h^g(x) \in C(X,\mathbf{F})$ as the functions of the x variable for each marked element $g \in G$ and hence $d(g,x)h(g^{-1}x) \in C(X,\mathbf{F})$, since $d(g,x)$ is continuous in the x variable and the mapping $g^{-1} : X \to X$ is continuous.

Then in virtue of Condition 1(1) (see Definitions 1) we get that $f\Upsilon_d f$, since $f(x) = d(e,x)f(e^{-1}x)$ for all $x \in X$. That is the relation Υ_d is reflexive.

If $f\Upsilon_d h$ and $h\Upsilon_d u$, then there exist $t, s \in G$ so that $f(x) = d(t,x)h(t^{-1}x)$ and $h(x) = d(s,x)u(s^{-1}x)$ for each $x \in X$, consequently,

$f(x) = d(t,x)d(s,t^{-1}x)u(s^{-1}t^{-1}x) = d(ts,x)u((ts)^{-1}x)$

due to the cocycle Condition 1(3). Thus $f\Upsilon_d u$ and the relation Υ_d is transitive.

If $f\Upsilon_d h$, then $h(y) = h(t^{-1}x) = f(x)/d(t,x) = d(s,y)f(s^{-1}y)$ according to Conditions $1(1,3)$, where $x = ty$ and $s = t^{-1}$. Therefore, the relation Υ_d is symmetric. Thus Υ_d is the equivalence relation on $C(X,\mathbf{F})$.

8. Corollary. *Let m be a measure $m \in M(X,\mathbf{F})$, let a mapping $g : X \to X$ be continuous and let $d(g,x)$ be a function continuous in the $x \in X$ variable for each $g \in G$ and satisfying Conditions $1(1-3)$ (see Definitions 1). Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *for each $f \in C_b(X,\mathbf{F})$ and $h \in C(X,\mathbf{F})$ so that $f\Upsilon_d h$ the equality is fulfilled $m(f) = m(h)$, where*

$$m(f) := \int_X f(x)m(dx);$$

(2) $m \in Q^d(X,\mathbf{F})$.

Proof. Suppose that Condition (1) of this corollary is fulfilled and $f\Upsilon_d h$, where $f \in C_b(X,\mathbf{R})$. In view of Proposition 7 an element $g \in G$ exists such that $h(x) = d(g,x)f(g^{-1}x)$ for each $x \in X$, since the mapping $g^{-1} : X \to X$ is continuous. The change of the variable gives the equality

$$\int_X u(gy)m(dy) = \int_X u(x)m^g(dx)$$

for each $u \in C_b(X,\mathbf{F})$, since $g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $gX = X$. The continuous bounded function $f \in C_b(X,\mathbf{R})$ is arbitrary, consequently, $m^g(dx)/m(dx) = d(g,x)$ almost everywhere on X relative to m for each $g \in G$, since

$$m(f) = \int_X f(y)m(dy) \text{ and } m(h) = \int_X f(g^{-1}x)d(g,x)m(dx).$$

That is $m \in Q^d(X,\mathbf{F})$.

If Condition (2) is satisfied and $f \in C_b(X,\mathbf{F})$ and $h \in C(X,\mathbf{F})$ so that $f\Upsilon_d h$ then by Proposition 7 there exists $g \in G$ for which $h(x) = d(g,x)f(g^{-1}x)$ for each $x \in X$, then

$$m(h) = \int_X f(g^{-1}x)d(g,x)m(dx) = \int_X f(g^{-1}x)m(g^{-1}dx) = \int_X f(y)m(dy),$$

since $g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $gX = X$, consequently, $m(f) = m(h)$.

9. Proposition. *Let $d(g,x)$ be a function continuous in the $x \in X$ variable for each $g \in G$ and satisfying Conditions $1(1-3)$ (see Definitions*

1). Then $Q^d(X,\mathbf{F})$ is a closed linear subspace in $M(X,\mathbf{F})$ relative to the τ_w topology.

Proof. If $m_1, m_2 \in Q^d(X,\mathbf{F})$ and $a,b \in \mathbf{F}$, then for the measure $m(dx) = am_1(dx) + bm_2(dx)$ the identities $m^g(dx) = am_1^g(dx) + bm_2^g(dx) = ad(g,x)m_1(dx) + bd(g,x)m_2(dx) = d(g,x)m(dx)$ are valid for each $g \in G$. Therefore, $Q^d(X,\mathbf{F})$ is the linear space over $\mathbf{F}$.

Consider an arbitrary net $(m_k : k \in K)$ in $Q^d(X,\mathbf{F})$ converging in $M(X,\mathbf{F})$ to some measure m relative to the τ_w topology, where K is a directed set. Then we infer that

$$\int_X f(x)m(g^{-1}dx) = \lim_k \int_X f(x)m_k^g(dx)$$
$$= \lim_k \int_X f(x)d(g,x)m_k(dx) = \int_X f(x)d(g,x)m(dx)$$

for each $f \in C_b(X,\mathbf{F})$ and $g \in G$, since $g : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $gX = X$. Therefore, there exists the Radon-Nikodym derivative $m(g^{-1}dx)/m(dx) = m^g(dx)/m(dx) = d(g,x)$ almost everywhere relative to the measure m for each element $g \in G$. Thus $m \in Q^d(X,\mathbf{F})$.

10. Remark. On the other hand, the set $Q(X,\mathbf{F})$ is not a linear space, when X and G are non-trivial, because different measures may have different quasi-invariance factors. Mention that generally $Q(X,\mathbf{F})$ is not closed in $(M(X,\mathbf{F}),\tau_w)$, when X and G are non-trivial. For example, consider a sequence of Gaussian measures λ_k on the real field $\mathbf{R}$, that is on the measurable space $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}),\mathbf{R})$, with the same mean value v and with dispersion D_k tending to zero. Each measure in this sequence is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure and is quasi-invariant relative to the additive group $(\mathbf{R},+)$ of the real field. But this sequence λ_k converges in $(M(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}),\tau_w)$ to the Dirac measure δ_v with the support in v, which is certainly not quasi-invariant relative to $(\mathbf{R},+)$.

Analogous examples can be considered for the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$ relative to its additive group $G = (\mathbf{R}^n,+)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, the separable Hilbert space l_2 with G being a dense proper subgroup of the additive group $(l_2,+)$ and for a separable Banach space X with a dense proper subgroup of the additive group $(X,+)$ (see about Gaussian measures and their generalizations on Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces in [6, 12, 25, 28, 14, 15]).

By wX is denoted the Wallman extension of a topological space X, while $cl_A B$ denotes the closure of a subset B in a topological space A.

Let $\mathcal{D}(X)$ be the family of all closed subsets in X and let $\mathcal{U}(X)$ (and $\mathcal{U}_0(X)$) be the family of all ultrafilters (of all vanishing ultrafilters correspondingly) in $\mathcal{D}(X)$, where by definition an ultrafilter Y is vanishing, if $\cap\{A:\ A\in Y\}=\emptyset$.

A measure $m:\mathcal{F}\to\mathbf{F}$ is called real, if

$$\lim_{\{F\}} m(F)=0$$

for each vanishing ultrafilter $\{F\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$.

11. Theorem. *Suppose that a group G acts on a topological space X continuously $h_g: X\to X$ for each $g\in G$. Then there exists a mapping $v: Q(X,\mathbf{C})\to Q(wX,\mathbf{C})$ so that*

(1) $p(F')=\lim_{\{F\}} m(F)$

for each $m\in Q(X,\mathbf{R})$ with $p=v(m)$ and each closed subset F' in wX, where $\{F\}$ is the ultrafilter in X satisfying the condition $\cap\{cl_{wX}F\}=F'$; particularly,

$p(cl_{wX}F)=m(F)$.

Moreover, m is real if and only if $p(F')=0$ for all closed F' in wX satisfying the condition $F'\subset wX\setminus X$. If additionally m and $v(m)$ are σ-smooth, also the variation of m is finite on X, then

(2) *$d_{v(m)}(g,x)$ exists for every $m\in Q(X,\mathbf{C})$, $g\in G$ and $x\in wX$, also $d_{v(m)}(g,x)=d_m(g,x)$ for each $g\in G$ and m-almost everywhere on X.*

Proof. The Wallman extension wX of X is provided by adjoining to X new points which are the vanishing ultrafilters in X. To each closed subset F in X is posed a closed set $\bar{F}$ in wX by adjoining to it all vanishing ultrafilters into which enters F. Then the intersection $\bigcap_k \bar{F}_k$ of any number of such $\bar{F}_k$ having $\bigcap_k \bar{F}_k\cap X$ closed in X is considered as closed in wX.

Since the mapping $h_g: X\to X$ is continuous and $h_{g^{-1}}\circ h_g=h_e=id$ and $gX=X$ for each $g\in G$, then $h_g: X\to X$ is the homeomorphism. Therefore, if $Y=\{F\}$ is an ultrafilter in X, then $gY=\{gF:\ F\in Y\}$ is also the ultrafilter in X for each $g\in G$, since $h_g: X\to X$ is the homeomorphism, where $gA=\{gx:\ x\in A\}$, $gx=h_g(x)$. Moreover, if Y is maximal, then

gY is maximal as well. On the other hand, $\bigcap\{F:\ F\in Y\}=\emptyset$ if and only if $\bigcap\{gF:\ F\in Y\}=\emptyset$. Therefore, if $\bar{F}$ is closed in wX, then $g\bar{F}$ is defined and also closed in wX for each $g\in G$ and hence $g\bigcap_k \bar{F}_k=\bigcap_k g\bar{F}_k$. This implies that if V is closed in wX, then gV is closed in wX for each $g\in G$. Thus the homeomorphism $h_g: X\to X$ has the homeomorphic extension $h_g: wX\to wX$ for each $g\in G$, since G is the group and $h_{g^{-1}}\circ h_g=h_e=id$ is the identity mapping.

Take an arbitrary quasi-invariant measure $m\in Q(X,\mathbf{C})$ and an ultrafilter $\{F\}$ in X. Since $Q(X,\mathbf{C})\subset M(X,\mathbf{C})$, there exists $p=v(m)\in M(wX,\mathbf{C})$ so that $p(F')=\lim_{\{F\}} m(F)$ and m is real if and only if $p(F')=0$ for all closed F' in wX satisfying the condition $F'\subset wX\setminus X$ according to Theorem 12.3 [1].

It remains to prove that p is quasi-invariant on wX. We infer that

$$(3)\quad \lim_{\{F\}} m(g^{-1}F)=\lim_{\{F\}} m^g(F)=p^g(F')=p(g^{-1}F')=\int_{F'} p(g^{-1}dy)$$

for each F' closed in wX. Therefore, from (3) it follows that $|p|(F')=0$ if and only if $|p^g|(F')=0$, since $m\in Q(X,\mathbf{C})$, consequently, p^g is equivalent to p on wX for each $g\in G$, where $|p|$ denotes the variation of the measure p. That is $p\in Q(X,\mathbf{C})$.

There exist functions f_m and f_p so that $m(dx)=f_m(x)|m|(dx)$ and $p(dy)=f_p(y)|p|(dy)$. If the measures m and p are σ-smooth, then their variations $|m|$ and $|p|$ are σ-smooth as well. From the construction above it follows that the condition $|m|(X)<\infty$ implies $|p|(wX)<\infty$. In view of Theorem I.3.2.2 [3] the Radon-Nikodym derivatives $|m^g|(dx)/|m|(dx)$ and $|p^g|(dy)/|p|(dy)$ exist for every $g\in G$, $x\in X$ and $y\in wX$. This implies that the Radon-Nikodym derivatives $d_m(g,x)=m^g(dx)/m(dx)$ and $d_p(g,y)=p^g(dy)/p(dy)$ exist as well for all $g\in G$, $x\in X$ and $y\in wX$ (see §I.3.2.2 [3]) such that

$$(4)\quad p^g(F')=\int_{F'} d_p(g,y)p(dy)=\lim_{\{F\}} m^g(F)=\lim_{\{F\}}\int_F d_m(g,x)m(dx)$$

for each F' closed in wX. In view of Theorem 3.6.21 [8] the topological space wX is T_1, since X is T_1. On the other hand, for each point $y\in wX$ there exists an ultrafilter of closed sets F'_k in wX satisfying the condition

$\{y\} = \bigcap_k F'_k$, since in wX each singleton $\{y\}$ is closed if and only if wX is T_1 (see §1.5 [8]). Hence from Formulas (3) and (4) of this subsection it follows that up to p-almost everywhere the quasi-invariance factor $d_p(g,y)$ can be chosen in the y variable so that $d_p(g,x) = d_m(g,x)$ for each $g \in G$ and m-almost everywhere on X, since $X \subset wX$.

12. Theorem. *If X is a metrizable space, then a topological space $(Q^+_\tau(X), \tau_s(G))$ is metrizable.*

Proof. For each $m \in Q^+_\tau(X)$ put $T(m) = (m^g : g \in G)$ and hence $T(m) \in (M^+_\tau(X))^G$. Since $m^e = m$, where e denotes the neutral element in the group G, then $T : Q^+_\tau(X) \to (M^+_\tau(X))^G$ is the injective mapping.

In view of Theorem II.4.13 [29] the topological space $(M^+_\tau(X), \tau_w)$ is metrizable. Let D denote a metric on $(M^+_\tau(X), \tau_w)$. On $(T(Q^+_\tau(X)))^2$ we introduce the function

(1) $D^G(T(m), T(p)) := \sup_{g\in G} D(m^g, p^g)$

which induces the function

(2) $E(m,p) := D^G(T(m), T(p))$

on $(Q^+_\tau(X))^2$ for each $m, p \in Q^+_\tau(X)$. By the conditions of §1 the mapping $h_g : X \to X$ is such that $h_g(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$ for each $g \in G$, consequently, $0 \le m^g(U) \le m(X)$ for each $g \in G$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore, $E(m,p) \le m(X) + p(X)$. On the other hand, from Formulas (1) and (2) of this subsection it follows that $E(m,p) \ge D(m,p)$ for each $m, p \in Q^+_\tau(X)$, consequently, $E(m,p) = 0$ if and only if $m = p$. Moreover, $E(m,p) = E(p,m)$, since $D(m^g, p^g) = D(p^g, m^g)$ for every $g \in G$ and $m, p \in Q^+_\tau(X)$. Furthermore, we deduce that

$E(m,p) = \sup_{g\in G} D(m^g, p^g) \le \sup_{g\in G}[D(m^g, s^g) + D(s^g, p^g)]$

$\le E(m,s) + E(s,p)$

for all $m, p, s \in Q^+_\tau(X)$. Thus E is the metric on $Q^+_\tau(X)$.

If $(m_a : a \in A)$ is a net in $Q^+_\tau(X)$ converging to $m \in Q^+_\tau(X)$ relative to the $\tau_s(G)$ topology, where A is a directed set, then the net $(m^g_a : a \in A)$ converges to m^g uniformly in the variable $g \in G$, hence $\lim_a m^g_a = m^g$ relative to the τ_w topology for each g and uniformly in the variable $g \in G$. This implies that $\lim_a D(m^g_a, m^g) = 0$ uniformly in $g \in G$ and consequently, $\lim_a E(m_a, m) = 0$.

Vice versa if $\lim_a E(m_a, m) = 0$ for a net $(m_a : \ a \in A)$ in $Q^+_\tau(X)$, then

$\lim_a D(m_a^g, m^g) = 0$ uniformly in the variable $g \in G$. That is m_a^g tends to m^g relative to the τ_w topology and uniformly in $g \in G$, consequently, m_a tends to m in $Q_\tau^+(X)$ relative to the $\tau_s(G)$ topology due to Theorem 2. Thus the metric E on $Q_\tau^+(X)$ induces the equivalent topology with $\tau_s(G)$.

13. Lemma. *If a group G acts continuously on a topological space X so that Gx is dense in X for each $x \in X$, $m \in Q^+(X)$, $m(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $m(A) = 0$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. For arbitrary two points $x \neq y \in X$ take open neighborhoods U_x and U_y of x and y correspondingly which do not intersect, $U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset$, since $(X \in T_1 \cap T_{3.5}) \Rightarrow (X \in T_2)$ (see [8]). If $m(U_x) = 1$, then $m(U_y) = 1$, since an element $g \in G$ exists so that $(gU_x) \cap U_y \neq \emptyset$ and the measure m is quasi-invariant and non-negative. Therefore, $m(X) \geq 2$, that contradicts the suppositions of this lemma, consequently, $m(A) = 0$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

14. Proposition. *If a group G acts continuously on a topological space X so that Gx is dense in X for each $x \in X$, $m \in Q_\sigma^+(X)$, the range $\{m(A) : A \in \mathcal{F}\} =: T$ is discrete in $[0, \infty)$ and $m(X) < \infty$, the topological weight of X is $w(X) \geq \aleph_0$, then $m(A) = 0$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary sequence U_k of open subsets in X so that $U_{k+1} \subset (X \setminus (U_1 \cup ... \cup U_k))$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Put $t := \inf\{b - a : \ b > a \in T\}$. By the conditions of this proposition $t > 0$. If $m(U_k) > 0$, then $m(U_l) > 0$ for each l, since the measure m is quasi-invariant and non-negative and Gx is dense in X for each $x \in X$ and hence elements $g_l \in G$ exist so that $(g_l U_l) \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$ for each l. Then

$$m(X) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(U_k) = \infty,$$

since $m(U_k) \geq t > 0$ for each k. This contradicts the suppositions of this proposition, hence $m(A) = 0$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

15. Theorem. *Suppose that $(Q^+(X) \setminus \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$ and $GU = X$ for each open subset U in X. Then the family $Q^+(X)$ is dense in the topological space $(M^+(X), \tau_w)$ relative to the weak topology τ_w.*

Proof. Let m be a non-trivial non-negative quasi-invariant measure $m \in (Q^+(X) \setminus \{0\})$. If $m(V) = 0$ for some $V \in \mathcal{F}$, then $m^g(V) = 0$ for each $g \in G$, since $m(V) \geq 0$ and m is quasi-invariant relative to the group G. Therefore,

in view of Theorems I.2 and I.5 [29] (or see these theorems in [1]) $m(U) > 0$ for each open U in X, since $GU = X$. Since $X \in T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$, for any marked point $z \in X$ a net of its neighborhoods U_b exists such that $\bigcap_{b\in A} U_b = \{z\}$ and $U_a \subset U_b$ for each $a > b \in A$, where A is a directed set. Choose a net of non-negative continuous bounded functions f_a so that $f_a(x) \le f_b(x) \le f_b(z)$ for each $a > b \in A$ and $x \in X$, also

$$\int_X f_a(x)m(dx) = 1,$$

with the support $supp(f_b) \subset U_b$ and $f_b(z) > 0$ for each $b \in A$. Then we infer that

$$\lim_a f_a(x)m(dx) = \delta_z(dx)$$

relative to the weak topology τ_w on $M^+(X)$, where $\delta_z(dx)$ denotes the point measure in $M^+(X)$ with the support $supp(\delta_z) = \{z\}$ and $\delta_z(V) = 1$ for each $z \in V \in \mathcal{F}$, since $Q^+(X) \subset M^+(X)$.

In virtue of Theorem II.3.10 [29] the real span of point measures is dense in $(M^+(X), \tau_w)$, consequently, $Q^+(X)$ is dense in $(M^+(X), \tau_w)$.

16. Proposition. *Let a topological space X be infinite and let $X \in T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$, also $GU = X$ for each open subset U in X, also let Gz be dense in X for some $z \in X$. Then $Q^+(X)$ is not dense in $M^+(X)$ relative to the $\tau_s(G)$ topology.*

Proof. We consider $(M(X), \tau_s(G))$ (see Theorem 2 above). Take $z \in X$ and $\delta_z \in M^+(X)$. In the case $Q^+(X) = \{0\}$ evidently $Q^+(X)$ is not dense in $M^+(X)$ relative to the $\tau_s(G)$ topology.

Now let $m \in (Q^+(X) \setminus \{0\}) \ne \emptyset$. From §15 we infer that there exists a bounded continuous non-negative function f so that $f(z) = 1$, $supp(f) \subset U_b$ for some neighborhood U_b of z and

$$\sup_{g\in G} |\int_X f(x)(m^g(dx) - \delta_z^g(dx))| > 1/3,$$

since $\delta_z^g(g\{z\}) = 1$ and an element $g \in G$ exists with $gz \in X \setminus U_b$.

17. Definition. For a positive quasi-invariant measure $m \in Q^+(X)$ we say that its quasi-invariance factor $d_m(g, x)$ is unbounded on X for some element $g \in G$ if and only if $m(\{x : x \in X; d_m(g, x) > t\}) > 0$ for each $t > 0$.

18. Theorem. (1). *The family $Q^+(X)$ induces on the algebra $\mathcal{F}$ an uniform space structure $\mathcal{U}$ on which G acts injectively.* (2). *If there is a non-negative non-trivial quasi-invariant measure $m \in Q^+(X) \setminus \{0\}$ and a quasi-invariance factor $d_m(g,x)$ of m exists on $G \times X$ and is unbounded on X for some group element $g \in G$, then this element g acts non uniformly continuously on $\mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. (1). To each quasi-invariant non-negative measure $p \in Q^+(X)$ the pseudo-metric $\rho_p(B,E) := p(B \triangle E)$ on $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds. It induces the equivalence relation $B \Phi_p E$ if and only if $\rho_p(B,E) = 0$. Therefore, the quotient space $\mathcal{F}_p := \mathcal{F}/\Phi_p$ is the metric space.

If a measure p is absolutely continuous relative to m, that is $p << m$, then from $B \Phi_m E$ it follows that $B \Phi_p E$. Hence there exists the quotient mapping $\psi_m^p : \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathcal{F}_m$. Put $p \succeq m$ if and only if there exists a constant $b_{p,m} > 0$ so that $p(E) \le b_{p,m} m(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$. This makes on $Q^+(X)$ the directed set structure and defines the inverse mapping system $\{\mathcal{F}_p, \psi_m^p, Q^+(X)\}$. Put

$$\mathcal{U} := \lim\{\mathcal{F}_p, \psi_m^p, Q^+(X)\},$$

consequently, $\mathcal{U}$ is the uniform space.

Since m^g is equivalent to m for each $m \in Q^+(X)$ and $g \in G$, then $\rho_{m^g}(B,E) > 0$ if and only if $\rho_m(B,E) > 0$, where $B, E \in \mathcal{F}$ are arbitrary. This implies that each element g of the group G acts injectively on the uniform space $\mathcal{U}$.

(2). The condition $m \in Q^+(X)$ implies that $m^g \in Q^+(X)$ for each $g \in G$, hence $d_m(g,x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $g \in G$. If $m \in Q^+(X) \setminus \{0\}$ and a quasi-invariance factor $d_m(g,x)$ of m exists on $G \times X$ and is unbounded on X for some $g \in G$, then X and $\mathcal{F}$ are infinite and for each $t > 0$ there exist $B, E \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $m^g(B \triangle E) \ge t m(B \triangle E)$, consequently, such element g acts non uniformly continuously on $\mathcal{U}$ in this case.

19. Theorem. *If $X \in T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$, each element s in a group G acts by homeomorphic and injective mappings h_s on X so that Gx is dense in X for each $x \in X$, also $m \in Q^+(X) \setminus \{0\}$ and a quasi-invariance factor $d_m(g,x)$ of m exists on $G \times X$ and is unbounded on X for some group element $g \in G$, then this element g acts discontinuously on $\mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. The imposed conditions of this proposition imply that for each pair of points $x \neq y \in X$ and their open neighborhoods U_x and U_y, $x \in U_x$ and $y \in U_y$, there exist group elements $s, q \in G$ such that $(sU_x) \cap U_y \neq \emptyset$ and $(qU_y) \cap U_x \neq \emptyset$. In view of Proposition 14 for every $b > 0$ and $x \neq z \in X$ there exist non-intersecting neighborhoods U_x and U_z so that $0 < m(U_x) < b$ and $0 < m(U_z) < b$. Therefore, for every $t > 0$, $b > 0$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $E \in \mathcal{F}$ with $m(E) > 0$ and $0 < m(E \triangle B) < t$ so that $m^g(E \triangle B) > bt$, consequently, g induces the mapping on $\mathcal{U}$ which is discontinuous at each element of $\mathcal{U}$.

20. Definition. A sequence $\{E_k\}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ we call a (k', G) sequence if the following three conditions are satisfied:

(1) $gE_k \uparrow X$ for each $g \in G$;

(2) for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $g \in G$ and $m \in M_\sigma(X)$ the sequence

$|m^g|_*(X \setminus E_k) := \sup\{|m^g|(U) : \ U \subset X \setminus E_k, \ U \text{ is open}\}$

converges to zero as k tends to the infinity;

(3) a function h is continuous on X if and only if h is continuous on gE_k for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $g \in G$.

21. Theorem. *Suppose that* (1) $X \in T_1 \cap T_{3.5}$*;*

(2) *each element s in the group G acts by homeomorphic and injective mappings h_s on X;*

(3) *a sequence $\{m_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset Q_\sigma(X)$ converges to $m_0 \in M(X)$ relative to the τ_w topology, also*

(4) *a function $p : G \times X \to [0, \infty)$ exists such that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $g \in G$ the inequality $|d_{m_n}(g, x)| \leq p(g, x)$ is fulfilled m_n-a.e. in the x variable, where*

$$p(g, *) \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} L^1(m_n) \text{ and } \sup_{0 \leq n} \|p(g, *)\|_{L^1(m_n)} < \infty. \text{ Then}$$

(5) *for each (k', G) sequence $\{E_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and each element $g \in G$ the limit*

$\lim_{k \to \infty} |m_n^g|_*(X - E_k) = 0$ *converges uniformly in n and*

(6) $m_0 \in Q_\sigma(X)$.

Proof. Consider a (k', G) sequence $\{E_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Put

$$(7) \quad D_g(h,s) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \sup_{x \in gE_k} |h(x) - s(x)|$$

for each $h, s \in S_1$, where

$$S_1 := \{h : \ h \in C_b(X, \mathbf{R}), \|h\| \le 1\},$$

g is a marked element in G. Then from Conditions (1) and (2) and Formula (7) of this subsection and Conditions $20(1-3)$ of Definition 20 we infer that the mapping D_g is the metric on S_1 and the metric space (S_1, D_g) is complete.

Choose an arbitrary quasi-invariant σ-smooth measure $m \in Q_\sigma(X)$ and define the functional

$$F(g,h) := \int_X h(x) m^g(dx)$$

on S_1, where $h \in S_1$. Applying Radon-Nikodym's theorem to equivalent measures $m^g \sim m$ in $M_\sigma(X)$ one gets the densities $d_m(g,x) = m^g(dx)/m(dx)$. From the identity

$$\int_X h(x) m^g(dx) = \int_X h(gy) m(dy)$$

and Conditions $20(1-3)$ of Definition 20 we deduce that the functional $F(g, *)$ is continuous on the metric space (S_1, D_g). Therefore, for every $b > 0$ and $m \in Q_\sigma(X)$

the set $\{h : \ h \in S_1, \ |\int_X h(x) m^g(dx)| \le b\}$ is closed in (S_1, D_g).

Then each set of the form

$$W_k(b) = W_k^g(b) := \{h : \ h \in S_1, \sup_{l,n \ge k} |\int_X h(x) m_l^g(dx) - \int_X h(x) m_n^g(dx)| \le b\}$$

is closed in (S_1, D_g) and

$$S_1 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} W_k(b) \text{ for each } 0 < b < 1.$$

In view of the Baire category theorem 3.9.3 [8] a natural number u exists so that $W_u(b)$ contains an open subset in (S_1, D_g).

Therefore, there exist $u \in \mathbf{N}$, $h_0 \in S_1$, $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t > 0$ such that from $n, k \ge u$, $h \in S_1$ and $|h(x) - h_0(x)| < t$ on E_j it follows that

$$|\int_X h(x) m_n^g(dx) - \int_X h(x) m_k^g(x)| \le t, \text{ particularly,}$$

$$|\int_{X-E_j} m_n^g(dx) - \int_{X-E_j} m_k^g(dx)| \le 2t$$

if take h_0 satisfying the restriction $h_0|_{E_j} = 0$. In virtue of Lemma I.3 [29] and Conditions $20(1-3)$ of Definition 20 the estimate

$$|m_n^g - m_k^g|_*(X - E_j) \le 2t$$

is fulfilled for all $n, k \ge u$. Choose a natural number $i(1) \ge j$ so that

$$|m_u^g|(X - E_{i(1)}) \le t, \text{ consequently,}$$

$$|m_n^g|_*(X - E_{i(1)}) \le [|m_n^g - m_j^g|_*(X - E_{i(1)}) + |m_j^g|_*(X - E_{i(1)})] \le 3t.$$

Then one can take a natural number $i(2) \ge i(1)$ for which

$$\sup_{l<u} |m_l^g|_*(X - E_{i(2)}) \le 3t,$$

consequently, for each $b > 0$ there exists $i(2)$ so that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbf{N}} |m_n^g|_*(X - E_{i(2)}) \le 3b.$$

This implies assertion (5).

From the convergence of the sequence m_n to m_0 relative to the τ_w topology, Conditions (1) and (2) of this subsection and Conditions $20(1-3)$ of Definition 20 it follows that

$$\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} |m_n^g|_*(X - E_i) \ge |m_0^g|_*(X - E_i)$$

for each $g \in G$, consequently,

$$|m_0^g|_*(X - E_i) \le 3b$$

for all $i \ge i(2)$ and an arbitrary marked g in G and E_i, b, $i(2)$ described above in this subsection. That is for each $g \in G$ the limit

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} |m_i^g|_*(X - E_i) = 0$$

exists for each (k', G) sequence $\{E_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$.

We consider a sequence $\{Z_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of closed in X subsets for which the following conditions are valid:

(8) for each $g \in G$ $gZ_n \uparrow X$ as $n \to \infty$;

(9) for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists an open subset U_n so that $gZ_n \subset gU_n \subset gZ_{n+1}$ for each $g \in G$.

From Theorem I.12 [29] and Conditions (1) and (2) it follows that if a sequence $\{Z_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of closed in X subsets satisfies Conditions (8) and (9), then it is a (k', G) sequence, since $h_s : X \to X$ is the homeomorphism for each $s \in G$, $h_s(x) = sx$. Hence

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} |m_0^g|(X - Z_i) = 0$$

for each $g \in G$. Then by Theorem I.19 [29] $m_0^g \in M_\sigma(X)$ for each $g \in G$.

Let now $V \in \mathcal{F}$ and $|m_0|(V) = 0$. Then we get that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |m_n|(V) = 0.$$

There are valid the identity

$$(10) \quad \int_X f(x)m_n^g(dx) = \int_X f(x)d_{m_n}(g, x)m_n(dx) \quad \text{and the inequality}$$

$$(11) \quad |\int_X f(x)d_{m_n}(g, x)m_n(dx)| \le \int_X p(g, x)|f(x)||m_n|(dx)$$

for each $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. For each open subset U in the topological space X, $g \in G$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$ the inequality

$$|m_k^g|(U) \le |m_k|(U) \sup_{0\le n} \|p(g, *)\|_{L^1(m_n)}$$

is fulfilled. Take a sequence f_u of continuous functions $f_u : X \to [0, 1]$ so that $f_u(x) = 1$ for each $x \in V$ and $u \in \mathbf{N}$ and

$$\int_X f_u(x)|m_0|(dx) < b_u,$$

where $b_u \downarrow 0$, consequently,

$$\lim_u \int_X f_u(x)|m_0|(dx) = |m_0|(V) = 0$$

(see [1, 3, 29]). Applying Condition (4) we infer from Formulas (10) and (11) of this subsection that for each $b > 0$ and $g \in G$ there exist natural numbers n and v such that

$$\int_X f_v(x)|m_l^g|(dx) < b \text{ for each } l > n.$$

On the other hand, the inequality is valid:

$$|m_l^g|(V) \le \int_X f_v(x)|m_l^g|(dx).$$

Therefore, the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |m_n^g|(V) = 0$$

exists for each $g \in G$, consequently, $|m_0^g|(V) = 0$ for each $g \in G$. That is the measure m^g is equivalent with the measure m_0 for each group element $g \in G$. Thus the measure m_0 is quasi-invariant and consequently, $m_0 \in Q_\sigma(X)$, since $Q(X) \cap M_\sigma(X) = Q_\sigma(X)$.

22. Theorem. *Let G be a subgroup of a topological group G_1 and let Conditions 21*(1) *and* (2) *of subsection 21 be satisfied for G and G_1, let also the topological character of G_1 be $\chi(G_1) = \aleph_0$ and let the mapping $G \times X \ni (g, x) \mapsto gx \in X$ be continuous. Suppose also that for a quasi-invariant measure $m \in Q_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$ a sequence $m_k := m^{g_k}$ fulfills Conditions 21*(3) *and* (4) *of subsection 21 relative to G for each $g_0 \in G_1$ and each sequence g_k in G converging to g_0 relative to the left uniformity induced by the topology on G_1. Then $m \in Q_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G_1)$.*

Proof. For the topological group G_1 there exists the left uniformity on G_1 induced by the topology on G_1 (see §8.1.17 in [8]). Since $\chi(G_1) = \aleph_0$, then for each $g_0 \in G_1$ there is a sequence g_k in G converging to g_0 relative to the left uniformity of G_1. In view of Theorem 21 the limit exists

$$\lim_k m_k = m_0 \in Q_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G),$$

we denote it by $m_0 =: m^{g_0}$ also, since

$$\lim_k g_k = g_0 \text{ and } m_k := m^{g_k}.$$

Suppose that there are two sequences s_k and q_k converging to g_0. Put $g_{2k} = s_k$ and $g_{2k+1} = q_k$ for each k, hence g_k converges to g_0 as well and consequently,

$$\lim_k m^{s_k} = \lim_k m^{q_k} = \lim_k m^{g_k},$$

since the limit $\lim_k m^{g_k}$ exists according to Condition 21(3) of subsection 21. Thus m^{g_0} is independent of the sequence g_k in G converging to $g_0 \in G_1$.

Since the mapping $G \times X \ni (g,x) \mapsto gx \in X$ is continuous and h_g acts by homeomorphisms on X for each $g \in G_1$ and

$$\lim_k g_k = g_0, \text{ then } g_0U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} g_kU$$

for each U open in X. Therefore,

$$|m|(g_0U) = \lim_n |m|(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} g_kU)$$

for each $m \in M_\sigma(X)$. If $|m|(V) = 0$ for some $V \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m \in M_\sigma(X)$, then for each $b > 0$ there exists an open subset K_b in X such that

$V \subset K_b$ and $|m|(K_b) < b$

[1, 3, 29]. If $m \in Q_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$, then $|m^g|(V) = 0$ for each $g \in G$ as well. On the other hand,

$$|m|(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} g_kU) \le |m|(g_kU)$$

for each $k \ge n$. Then from Condition 21(4) and Formulas 21(10) and (11) of subsection 21 we infer that $|m^{g_0}|(V) = 0$. That is these measures are equivalent $m \sim m^{g_0}$ for each $g_0 \in G_1$. Thus $m \in Q_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G_1)$.

23. Theorem. *Let $\{G_i, h^i_j, J\}$ and $\{X_i, p^i_j, J\}$ be inverse mapping systems of groups G_j and topological spaces X_j so that $G_j : X_j \to X_j$, where $h^i_j : G_i \to G_j$ are homomorphisms of groups and $p^i_j : X_i \to X_j$ are continuous mappings for each $i \ge j$ in a directed set J. Let also a topological space X be homeomorphic with $\lim\{X_j, p^i_j, J\}$. Then each measure $m \in Q(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$ has the decomposition*

$$m = \lim\{m_i; p^i_j; J\}$$

with $m_i \in Q(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}_i, G_i)$ for each $i \in J$, which induces the continuous mapping from $Q(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$ into $\lim\{Q(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}_i, G_i); p^i_j; h^i_j; J\}$ relative to their $\tau_w(G)$ and $\{\tau_w(G_i); p^i_j; h^i_j; J\}$ topologies.

Proof. Consider continuous projective mappings $p^i : X \to X_i$ and projective group homomorphisms $h^i : G \to G_i$ for each $i \in J$ corresponding to these inverse mapping systems.

If $m \in Q(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$, then

$$m((p^i)^{-1}(A)) =: m_i(A)$$

is a measure on $\mathcal{F}_i$, since $(p^i)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_i) \subset \mathcal{F}$ for each $i \in J$. On the other hand, $g((p^i)^{-1}(A)) = (p^i)^{-1}(g_i A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}_i$ and $g \in G$, where $g_i = h^i(g)$, since $G_i : X_i \to X_i$, $p^i_j \circ p_i = p_j$ and $h^i_j \circ h_i = h_j$ for each $i \geq j \in J$. This family of measures forms and inverse system, since

$$m_i((p^i_j)^{-1}(B)) = m((p^j)^{-1}(B)) = m_j(B)$$

for each $i > j \in J$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}_j$. If $|m|_i(A) = 0$ for some $A \in \mathcal{F}_i$, then $|m|((p^i)^{-1}(A)) = 0$, consequently, $|m^g|((p^i)^{-1}(A)) = 0$ for each $g \in G$ and hence $|m_i^{g_i}|(A) = 0$. Thus the measure m_i on $\mathcal{F}_i$ is quasi-invariant relative to the group G_i for each $i \in J$. Then there is valid the equality

$$(1) \quad \int_X S(f_i)(y) m(dy) = \int_{X_i} f_i(x_i) m_i(dx_i)$$

for each $f_i \in C_b(X_i, \mathbf{R})$, where $S(f_i)$ is the cylinder function corresponding to f_i, $S(f_i)(y) = f_i(p_i(y))$ for each $y \in X$. In view of Proposition 2.5.5 [8], Theorem 2 and Formula (1) of this subsection this mapping $m \mapsto \lim\{m_i; p^i_j; J\}$ is continuous from $Q(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}, G)$ into $\lim\{Q(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{F}_i, G_i); p^i_j; h^i_j; J\}$ relative to their $\tau_w(G)$ and $\{\tau_w(G_i); p^i_j; h^i_j; J\}$ topologies.

24. Theorem. *Let suppositions of Theorem 23 be satisfied, where* $PQ^+_\sigma(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}_i, G_i) := \{m_i : m_i \in Q^+_\sigma(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}_i, G_i), m_i(X_i) = 1\}$ *is on the algebra* $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B}_i(X_i)$ *for each* $i \in J$. *Let also* $(X_i, \mathcal{B}_i)$ *be a Radon space for each* $i \in J$. *If* J *is countable, then there exists an embedding of* $PQ^+_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}, G)$ *into* $\lim\{PQ^+_\sigma(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}_i, G_i); h^i_j; p^i_j; J\}$ *relative to the weak* $\tau_w(G)$ *and* $\{\tau_w(G_i); p^i_j; h^i_j; J\}$ *topologies.*

Proof. Each σ-smooth measure m defined on $\mathcal{F}$ has the natural extension on $\mathcal{B}$ and analogously for each m_i [1, 3, 29]. In view of Theorem 23 if $m \in PQ^+_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}, G)$, then $\{m_i; p^i_j; J\} \in \{PQ^+_\sigma(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}_i, G_i); h^i_j; p^i_j; J\}$, since the conditions

$A_{i,k} \in \mathcal{B}_i$ and $A_{i,k} \cap A_{i,n} = \emptyset$ for each $k \neq n \in \mathbf{N}$ imply that

$(p^i)^{-1}(A_{i,k}) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(p^i)^{-1}(A_{i,k}) \cap (p^i)^{-1}(A_{i,n}) = \emptyset$ for each $k \neq n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Suppose that the inverse system of measures $\{m_i; p^i_j; J\}$ is given so that $m_i \in PQ^+_\sigma(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}_i, G_i)$ for each $i \in J$. This system induces the quasi-measure q on

$$\mathcal{H} := \bigcup_{i \in J} (p^i)^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_i) \text{ so that}$$

$m_i((p^i_j)^{-1}(B)) = q((p^j)^{-1}(B)) = m_j(B)$ for each $i > j \in J$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_j$.

Moreover, $q(X) = 1$, since $m_i(X_i) = 1$ for each $i \in J$. The algebra of cylinder subsets $\mathcal{H}$ is contained in the algebra $\mathcal{B}$, since $(p^i)^{-1}(\mathsf{Z}_i) \subset \mathsf{Z}$ and $(p^i)^{-1}(\mathsf{U}_i) \subset \mathsf{U}$ for each $i \in J$. Thus q is a bounded non-negative quasi-measure. In view of Kolmogorov's Theorem I.1.3 and Propositions I.1.7 and I.1.8 [6] (or see [28]) it has the unique σ-smooth extension q on the minimal σ-algebra $\sigma\mathcal{H}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$.

The base of the topology of X consists of open subsets of the form $\bigcap_{l=1}^n (p^{i(l)})^{-1}(A_{i(l)})$ with $A_{i(l)} \in \mathsf{U}_{i(l)}$ for each $i(l) \in J$, $l = 1, ..., n$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since J is countable, one gets that $\mathcal{B} = \sigma\mathcal{H}$. Thus q and m coincide on $\mathcal{B}$, if $\{m_i; p^i_j; J\}$ is constructed from $m \in PQ^+_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}, G)$. That is the mapping from $PQ^+_\sigma(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}, G)$ into $\lim\{PQ^+_\sigma(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}_i, G_i); h^i_j; p^i_j; J\}$ is injective.

Therefore, for each $f \in C_b(X, [0, \infty))$ there exists a net $f_i \in C_b(X_i, [0, \infty))$ so that

$$(1) \quad \int_X f(x)m(dx) = \lim_i \int_{X_i} f_i(x_i)m_i(dx_i), \text{ where}$$

$$(2) \quad f((p^i)^{-1}(x_i)) \le f_i(x_i)$$

for each $x_i \in X_i$ and $i \in J$. Particularly, if $f : X \to [0, 1]$, then one can choose

(3) $f_i : X_i \to [0, 2]$ for each $i \in J$.

Then we deduce that

$$(4) \quad \int_X f(x)m^g(dx) = \lim_i \int_{X_i} f_i(x_i)m^{g_i}_i(dx_i)$$

$$= \lim_i \int_{X_i} f_i(g_i y_i)m_i(dy_i) = \int_X f(gy)m(dy)$$

for each $g \in G$. If $m(A) = 0$ for some $A \in \mathcal{B}$, then $m^g(A) = 0$ for each $g \in G$. Then for each $t > 0$ and $g_1 \in G, ..., g_n \in G$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $f \in C_b(X, [0, 1])$ so that

$$f|_A = 1 \text{ and } \int_X f(x)m^{g_l}(dx) < t \text{ for each } l = 1, ..., n,$$

consequently, there exists a net $\{f_i : i\}$ satisfying Conditions $(1 - 3)$ above and $k \in J$ so that

$$\int_X f(x)m^{g_l}(dx) \le \int_{X_i} f_i(x_i)m^{h^i(g_l)}_i(dx_i) < 2t$$

for each $i \geq k \in J$ and $l = 1, ..., n$.

From Proposition 2.5.5 and Theorem 2.5.8 [8], Formulas $(1-4)$ of this subsection and Theorem 2 we infer that this embedding of $PQ^{+}_{\sigma}(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}, G)$ into $\lim\{PQ^{+}_{\sigma}(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}_i, G_i); h^i_j; p^i_j; J\}$ is continuous and open relative to the weak $\tau_w(G)$ and $\{\tau_w(G_i); p^i_j; h^i_j; J\}$ topologies, where $PQ^{+}_{\sigma}(X, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}, G)$ and all $PQ^{+}_{\sigma}(X_i, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{B}_i, G_i)$ are considered relative to their $\tau_w(G)$ and $\tau_w(G_i)$ topologies.

References

[1] A.D. Alexandroff. *Additive set-functions in abstract spaces (1-4)//* Sb. Mathem. (1) **8: 2** (1940), 307-312; (2) **9: 3** (1941), 563-628; (3) **13: 3** (1943), 169-238.

[2] Ya.I. Belopolskaya, Yu.L. Dalecky. *Stochastic equations and differential geometry* (Dordrecht: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1989).

[3] V.I. Bogachev. *Measure theory. V. 1, 2* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2007).

[4] N. Bourbaki. *Intégration.* Livre VI. Fasc. XIII, XXI, XXIX, XXXV. Ch. 1-9 (Paris: Hermann, 1963, 1965, 1967, 1969).

[5] C. Constantinescu. *Spaces of measures* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1984).

[6] Yu. L. Dalecky, S.V. Fomin. *Measures and Differential Equations in Infinite-Dimensional Spaces* (Dordrecht: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1991).

[7] Yu.L. Dalecky, Ya.L. Shnaiderman. *Diffusion and quasi-invariant measures on infinite-dimensional Lie groups* // Funct. Anal. and its Applic. **3** (1969), 156-158.

[8] R. Engelking. *General topology* (Moscow: Mir, 1986).

[9] J.C. Ferrando, M. López Pellicer, L.M. Sánches Ruiz. *Metrizable barrelled spaces*. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series. V. **332** (New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc., Longman, 1995).

[10] F. Fidaleo. *Continuity of Borel actions of Polish groups on standard measure algebras* // Atti Sem. Mat. Fiz. Univ. Modena **48** (2000), 79-89.

[11] E. Hewitt, K.A. Ross. *Abstract harmonic analysis. V. 1, 2* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1994).

[12] H.-H. Kuo. *Gaussian measures in Banach spaces* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1975).

[13] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable measures with values in non-archimedean fields on a non-archimedean Banach space* // J. of Mathem. Sci., N.Y. (Springer) **128: 6** (2005), 3428-3460.

[14] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable real valued measures on non-archimedean Banach spaces*// Analysis Mathematica **28** (2002), 287-316.

[15] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable measures in Banach spaces*. ISBN 978-1-60692-734-2 (New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2009).

[16] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Operators on a non locally compact group algebra*// Bull. Sciences Mathématiques (Paris). Ser.2, **137** (2013), 557-573; DOI: 10.1016/j.bulsci.2012.11.008

[17] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Meta-centralizers of non locally compact group algebras* // Glasgow Mathematical Journal, **57** (2015), 349-364; DOI: 10.1017/S0017089514000330.

[18] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Topological transformation groups of manifolds over non-Archimedean fields, representations and quasi-invariant measures, I, II* // J. of Mathem. Sci., N.Y. (Springer) (I) **147: 3** (2008), 6703-6846; (II) **150: 4** (2008), 2123-2223.

[19] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Stochastic processes on geometric loop groups, diffeomorphism groups of connected manifolds, associated unitary representations*// J. of Mathem. Sci., N.Y. (Springer) **141: 3** (2007), 1331-1384.

[20] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Irreducible unitary representations of a diffeomorphisms group of an infinite-dimensional real manifold* // Rendic. dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Univ. di Trieste. Nuova Serie, **30** (1998), 21-43.

[21] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Quasi-invariant measures on a group of diffeomorphisms of an infinite-dimensional real manifold and induced irreducible unitary representations* // Rendic. dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Univ. di Trieste. Nuova Serie, **31** (1999), 101-134.

[22] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Quasi-invariant measures on loop groups of Riemann manifolds* // Dokl. Mathemat. **61: 1** (2000), 54-56.

[23] S.V.Ludkovsky. *Stochastic processes in non-archimedean Banach spaces, manifolds and topological groups* ISBN 978-1-61668-787-8 (New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2010).

[24] S.V. Ludkovsky. *Properties of quasi-invariant measures on topological groups and associated algebras* // Annales Math. B. Pascal. **6: 1** (1999), 33-45.

[25] A.V. Skorohod. *Integration in Hilbert space* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1974).

[26] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein. *Topological vector spaces* (Marcel Dekker, Inc.: New York, 1985).

[27] O. G. Smolyanov, S. V. Fomin. *Measures on linear topological spaces*// Russ. Math. Surv, **31: 4** (1976), 3–56.

[28] N. N. Vahaniya, V. I. Tarieladze, S. A. Chobanyan. *Probability distributions in Banach spaces* (Moscow: Nauka, 1985).

[29] V.S. Varadarajan. *Measures on topological spaces* // Mat. Sbornik. **55** (1961), 35-100 (in Russian); English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **48** (1965), 161-228.